

| | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|-------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 10/519,527 | Applicant(s) LANG ET AL. | | |
| | Examiner Ruth A. Davis | <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top;"> Art Unit 1651 </td> <td style="width: 50%;"></td> </tr> </table> | Art Unit 1651 | |
| Art Unit 1651 | | | | |

All Participants:

(1) Ruth A. Davis.

(2) Daniel Nesbitt.

Date of Interview: 11 September 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 1130 AM DST

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner indicated the claims allowable over the prior art and requested the amendments reflected in the examiner amendment, Mr. Nesbitt agreed.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Ruth A. Davis/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1651

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)